IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR
PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 9421 of 1995

Between:
K. Bhaskar Reddy S/o. Bayyapa Reddy
Mamillakuntapalli Village, O.D. Cheruvu Mandal, Anantapur District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Managing Director, Electricity Board, Vidyut Soudha, Hyderabad.
2 The Managing Director, RESCO, Kadiri (West) Anantapur District.
3 The Resident Engineer, RESCO, Kadiri, Anantapur District.
4 Kurty Gangi Reddy
R4 is impleaded as per court order dt., 19.6.95 in WPMP 14345/95

....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Or order particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the 3rd Respondent in not implementing the orders of the 2nd Respondent is illegal, arbitrary and in violation of statutory duty and further direct the Respondents-2 and 3 to restore the electricity service to the bore well situated in S.No.228 of Mamillakunta Village, OD Cheruvu Mandal, Anantapur District,

Counsel for the Petitioner:Mr.A.Bhaskara Chary

Counsel for the Respondents 1to 3: Mr. A. Bhaskarachari

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: Mr.O.Manoher Reddy

The Court Made the Following :

ORDER:

The second respondent by letter dated 21.04.1995 directed the third respondent to restore power supply to the petitioner, if the petitioner has paid the fine amount. While admitting the Writ Petition on 03.05.1995, this Court passed interim orders in W.P.M.P.No.11730 of 1995, which reads as under:

"It is the case of the petitioner that despite his preparedness to pay the amount of Rs.6,050-00 (Rupees six thousand fifty only) and the instructions of the first and second respondents, the third respondent is not taking steps to restore the electricity connection to the petitioners borewell.

In the circumstances, there shall be an interim direction to the third respondent to collect the amount of Rs.6,050-00 (Rupees six thousand fifty only) and restore the electricity connection to the borewell, in case such instructions have already been given by the second respondent in this regard. It is also made clear that if subsequent to the restoration, any malpractice is found, the electricity connection is liable to be disconnected without further notice."

It appears that pursuant to the interim orders passed by this Court the petitioner paid the fine amount and power supply was restored immediately. In that view of the matter, there is no redressable grievance, which survives for any adjudication.

Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of the interim order.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO,J)

23.06.2004
pln

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To
1 The Managing Director, Electricity Board, Vidyut Soudha, Hyderabad.
2 The Managing Director, RESCO, Kadiri (West) Anantapur District.
3 The Resident Engineer, RESCO, Kadiri, Anantapur District.
4. 2 CD copes.